

# Nonvanishing of Hecke L-Series and $\ell$ -torsion in Class Groups

Arianna Iannuzzi, Alex Mathers, and Maria Ross

July 17, 2017

# Introduction

Alex Mathers

July 17, 2017

# Group Characters

- ▶ Let  $G$  be an abelian group. A *character* of  $G$  is a homomorphism  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ .

# Group Characters

- ▶ Let  $G$  be an abelian group. A *character* of  $G$  is a homomorphism  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ .
- ▶ The set of characters of  $G$  form a group.

# Group Characters

- ▶ Let  $G$  be an abelian group. A *character* of  $G$  is a homomorphism  $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ .
- ▶ The set of characters of  $G$  form a group.
- ▶ A *Dirichlet character of modulus  $m$*  is a group character for  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ , or equivalently a multiplicative function  $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$(i) \chi(n + m) = \chi(n) \text{ for all } n,$$

$$(ii) \chi(n) = 0 \text{ for } \gcd(n, m) > 1.$$

## $L$ -series

- ▶ If  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character, then the  $L$ -series of  $\chi$  is defined by the series

$$L(\chi, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

## $L$ -series

- ▶ If  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character, then the  $L$ -series of  $\chi$  is defined by the series

$$L(\chi, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

- ▶ Example: The *Riemann zeta function* is defined by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

# Functional Equation

- ▶ The  $L$ -series of a Dirichlet character  $\chi$  extends to a meromorphic function on the entire complex plane via *analytic continuation*.



# Functional Equation

- ▶ The  $L$ -series of a Dirichlet character  $\chi$  extends to a meromorphic function on the entire complex plane via *analytic continuation*.
- ▶ This analytic continuation satisfies a *functional equation* of the form  $s \mapsto 1 - s$  with central value  $L(\chi, 1/2)$ .

# Functional Equation

- ▶ The  $L$ -series of a Dirichlet character  $\chi$  extends to a meromorphic function on the entire complex plane via *analytic continuation*.
- ▶ This analytic continuation satisfies a *functional equation* of the form  $s \mapsto 1 - s$  with central value  $L(\chi, 1/2)$ .
- ▶ Example: If we let  $\xi(s) = \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s)$ , then we have the functional equation

$$\xi(s) = \xi(1 - s)$$

and the central value is given by  $\zeta(1/2)$ .

## Our “set up”

- ▶ Fix a triple of integers  $(d, k, D)$  satisfying:
  - $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,
  - $k > 0$ ,  $\text{sign}(d) = (-1)^{k-1}$ ,
  - $D > 0$ ,  $D \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ ,  $\text{gcd}(d, D) = 1$ .

## Our “set up”

- ▶ Fix a triple of integers  $(d, k, D)$  satisfying:
  - $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,
  - $k > 0$ ,  $\text{sign}(d) = (-1)^{k-1}$ ,
  - $D > 0$ ,  $D \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ ,  $\text{gcd}(d, D) = 1$ .
- ▶ Let  $K$  be the imaginary quadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ .

# The Class Group

- ▶ If  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denotes the ring of integers of  $K$ , then  $K$  can be considered as an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module. Denote the set of *fractional ideals* of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  by  $I_K$ .

# The Class Group

- ▶ If  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denotes the ring of integers of  $K$ , then  $K$  can be considered as an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module. Denote the set of *fractional ideals* of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  by  $I_K$ .
- ▶ The set  $I_K$  is an abelian group under multiplication; denote the subgroup of “principal” ideals by  $P_K$ , and set

$$\text{Cl}(K) = I_K/P_K.$$

# The Class Group

- ▶ If  $\mathcal{O}_K$  denotes the ring of integers of  $K$ , then  $K$  can be considered as an  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -module. Denote the set of *fractional ideals* of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  by  $I_K$ .
- ▶ The set  $I_K$  is an abelian group under multiplication; denote the subgroup of “principal” ideals by  $P_K$ , and set

$$\text{Cl}(K) = I_K/P_K.$$

- ▶ This is called the *class group*. It is finite, and its order (the *class number*) is denoted  $h(-D)$ .

# Canonical Hecke Characters

- ▶ A *canonical Hecke character* for some “distinguished subgroup”  $I_D$  of  $I_K$  is, roughly speaking, a character  $\psi_k : I_D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  which can be decomposed into a “finite part” and “infinite part”, and satisfies

$$\psi_k((\alpha)) = \pm \alpha^{2k-1} \quad \text{for } (\alpha, \sqrt{-D}\mathcal{O}_K) = 1.$$



# Canonical Hecke Characters

- ▶ A *canonical Hecke character* for some “distinguished subgroup”  $I_D$  of  $I_K$  is, roughly speaking, a character  $\psi_k : I_D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  which can be decomposed into a “finite part” and “infinite part”, and satisfies

$$\psi_k((\alpha)) = \pm \alpha^{2k-1} \quad \text{for } (\alpha, \sqrt{-D}\mathcal{O}_K) = 1.$$

- ▶ Given such a  $\psi_k$ , we can define its “quadratic twist”  $\psi_{d,k}$ . We denote the set of all  $\psi_{d,k}$  by  $\Psi_{d,k}(D)$ ; there are exactly  $h(-D)$  such characters.

# Hecke $L$ -series

- ▶ To a canonical Hecke character  $\psi \in \Psi_{d,k}(D)$ , we can assign an  $L$ -series  $L(\psi, s)$ , which converges for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > k + \frac{1}{2}$ .

# Hecke $L$ -series

- ▶ To a canonical Hecke character  $\psi \in \Psi_{d,k}(D)$ , we can assign an  $L$ -series  $L(\psi, s)$ , which converges for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > k + \frac{1}{2}$ .
- ▶ This Hecke  $L$ -series has an analytic continuation satisfying a functional equation of the form  $s \mapsto 2k - s$ ,

$$L(\psi, s) = L(\psi, 2k - s),$$

# Hecke $L$ -series

- ▶ To a canonical Hecke character  $\psi \in \Psi_{d,k}(D)$ , we can assign an  $L$ -series  $L(\psi, s)$ , which converges for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > k + \frac{1}{2}$ .
- ▶ This Hecke  $L$ -series has an analytic continuation satisfying a functional equation of the form  $s \mapsto 2k - s$ ,

$$L(\psi, s) = L(\psi, 2k - s),$$

- ▶ We are interested in the central value  $L(\psi, k)$ , specifically in determining whether it is zero or nonzero.

# Arithmetic Significance

- ▶ Let  $d = k = 1$ . Then our characters  $\psi \in \Psi_{1,1}(D)$  naturally correspond to canonical examples of Gross's  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves over  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ . If  $A(D)$  is such an elliptic curve, then

$$L(A(D), s) = \prod_{\psi \in \Psi_{1,1}(D)} L(\psi, s).$$

# Arithmetic Significance

- ▶ Let  $d = k = 1$ . Then our characters  $\psi \in \Psi_{1,1}(D)$  naturally correspond to canonical examples of Gross's  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves over  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ . If  $A(D)$  is such an elliptic curve, then

$$L(A(D), s) = \prod_{\psi \in \Psi_{1,1}(D)} L(\psi, s).$$

- ▶ If  $L(\psi, 1) \neq 0$  for some  $\psi \in \Psi_{1,1}(D)$ , then  $L(\psi, 1) \neq 0$  for all  $\psi \in \Psi_{1,1}(D)$ , hence  $L(A(D), 1) \neq 0$ .

# Arithmetic Significance

- ▶ Let  $d = k = 1$ . Then our characters  $\psi \in \Psi_{1,1}(D)$  naturally correspond to canonical examples of Gross's  $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves over  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ . If  $A(D)$  is such an elliptic curve, then

$$L(A(D), s) = \prod_{\psi \in \Psi_{1,1}(D)} L(\psi, s).$$

- ▶ If  $L(\psi, 1) \neq 0$  for some  $\psi \in \Psi_{1,1}(D)$ , then  $L(\psi, 1) \neq 0$  for all  $\psi \in \Psi_{1,1}(D)$ , hence  $L(A(D), 1) \neq 0$ .
- ▶ By known results towards the BSD conjecture, this implies that the rank of  $A(D)$  is zero, and hence the group of  $K$ -rational points is finite.

# Statement of Results

Arianna Iannuzzi

July 17, 2017



# Outlining our Goals

- ▶ Since  $\#\Psi_{d,k}(D) = h(-D)$ , by Siegel's theorem

$$h(-D) \gg_{\epsilon} D^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$$

we have

$$\#\Psi_{d,k}(D) \gg_{\epsilon} D^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}.$$

# Outlining our Goals

- ▶ Since  $\#\Psi_{d,k}(D) = h(-D)$ , by Siegel's theorem

$$h(-D) \gg_{\epsilon} D^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$$

we have

$$\#\Psi_{d,k}(D) \gg_{\epsilon} D^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}.$$

- ▶ We would like to quantify the number of  $\psi \in \Psi_{d,k}(D)$  with nonvanishing central value. Therefore we define

$$NV_{d,k}(D) = \#\{\psi \in \Psi_{d,k}(D) : L(\psi, k) \neq 0\}.$$

# Outlining our Goals

- ▶ We would like to find a bound of the form

$$NV_{d,k}(D) \gg D^{\delta_k}$$

for some  $\delta_k > 0$ .

# Outlining our Goals

- ▶ We would like to find a bound of the form

$$NV_{d,k}(D) \gg D^{\delta_k}$$

for some  $\delta_k > 0$ .

- ▶ Previous results of this form holding for all values of  $D$  have been conditional on the GRH.

## Outlining our Goals

- ▶ We would like to find a bound of the form

$$NV_{d,k}(D) \gg D^{\delta_k}$$

for some  $\delta_k > 0$ .

- ▶ Previous results of this form holding for all values of  $D$  have been conditional on the GRH.
- ▶ Our work has involved eliminating the GRH hypothesis. Doing so, we can no longer guarantee that our bound will hold for *all* values of  $D$ , but we can guarantee that it will be true “100 percent of the time”!

# Definitions

- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}$  be the set of all imaginary quadratic fields  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$  satisfying our conditions on  $(d, k, D)$ , plus some additional “local conditions”.

# Definitions

- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}$  be the set of all imaginary quadratic fields  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$  satisfying our conditions on  $(d, k, D)$ , plus some additional “local conditions”.
- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}(X)$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}$  such that  $D \leq X$ .

## Definitions

- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}$  be the set of all imaginary quadratic fields  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$  satisfying our conditions on  $(d, k, D)$ , plus some additional “local conditions”.
- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}(X)$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}$  such that  $D \leq X$ .
- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^{NV}(X)$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}(X)$  satisfying the bound

$$NV_{d,k}(D) \gg_{\epsilon} D^{\frac{1}{2(2k-1)} - \epsilon}.$$



# Main Results

## Theorem

*We have the asymptotic formula*

$$\#\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^{NV}(X) = \delta_{d,k}X + O_{d,k}(X^{1-\frac{1}{2(2k-1)}})$$

*as  $X \rightarrow \infty$ , for some explicit positive constant  $\delta_{d,k}$ .*

# Main Results

## Theorem

*We have the asymptotic formula*

$$\frac{\#\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^{NV}(X)}{\#\mathcal{S}_{d,k}(X)} = 1 + O\left(X^{-\frac{1}{2(2k-1)}}\right)$$

*as  $X \rightarrow \infty$ . In particular, the bound*

$$NV_{d,k}(D) \gg_{\epsilon} D^{\frac{1}{2(2k-1)} - \epsilon}$$

*holds for 100% of imaginary quadratic fields  $K \in \mathcal{S}_{d,k}$ .*

# Outline of Proof

Maria Ross

July 17, 2017

# Galois Orbit

We define the Galois group  $G_k = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K(\zeta_{2k-1}))$ , where  $\zeta_{2k-1}$  denotes a primitive  $2k - 1^{\text{st}}$  root of unity.

Then  $G_k$  acts on the set of characters  $\Psi_{d,k}(D)$  by

$$\psi \mapsto \psi^\sigma, \text{ where } \psi^\sigma = \sigma \circ \psi \text{ for } \sigma \in G_k,$$

and the Galois orbit of a character  $\psi$  is

$$\mathcal{O}_\psi = \{\psi^\sigma : \sigma \in G_k\}.$$

# Strategy of Proof

- ▶ First, we find one character  $\psi$  so  $L(\psi, k) \neq 0$ . We do so by proving the following theorem:

# Strategy of Proof

- ▶ First, we find one character  $\psi$  so  $L(\psi, k) \neq 0$ . We do so by proving the following theorem:

## Theorem

*If  $D > 64d^4(k+1)^4$ , there exists a  $\psi \in \Psi_{d,k}(D)$  such that  $L(\psi, k) \neq 0$ .*

# Strategy of Proof

- ▶ Then, we use results of Shimura to show that

$$L(\psi, k) \neq 0 \iff L(\psi^\sigma, k) \neq 0$$

for all  $\sigma \in G_k$ .

# Strategy of Proof

- ▶ Then, we use results of Shimura to show that

$$L(\psi, k) \neq 0 \iff L(\psi^\sigma, k) \neq 0$$

for all  $\sigma \in G_k$ .

- ▶ It follows that  $NV_{d,k}(D) \geq \#\mathcal{O}_\psi$ .



## Strategy of Proof

Let  $\text{Cl}_\ell(K)$  be the  $\ell$ -torsion subgroup of the class group  $\text{Cl}(K)$ .

## Strategy of Proof

Let  $\text{Cl}_\ell(K)$  be the  $\ell$ -torsion subgroup of the class group  $\text{Cl}(K)$ .

- ▶ By Rohrlich, we have that under certain “local conditions”,

$$\#\mathcal{O}_\psi = \frac{h(-D)}{|\text{Cl}_{2k-1}(K)|}.$$

## Strategy of Proof

Let  $\text{Cl}_\ell(K)$  be the  $\ell$ -torsion subgroup of the class group  $\text{Cl}(K)$ .

- ▶ By Rohrlich, we have that under certain “local conditions”,

$$\#\mathcal{O}_\psi = \frac{h(-D)}{|\text{Cl}_{2k-1}(K)|}.$$

- ▶ Now we want to find a lower bound of the form

$$\frac{h(-D)}{|\text{Cl}_{2k-1}(K)|} \gg D^{\delta_k}$$

for some  $\delta_k > 0$ .

# Strategy of Proof

Recall that Siegel's Theorem gives us the bound

$$h(-D) \gg_{\epsilon} D^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}.$$

# Strategy of Proof

Recall that Siegel's Theorem gives us the bound

$$h(-D) \gg_{\epsilon} D^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}.$$

We want to find an upper bound of the form

$$|\mathrm{Cl}_{2k-1}(K)| \ll D^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta_k+\epsilon}.$$

# Strategy of Proof

Recall that Siegel's Theorem gives us the bound

$$h(-D) \gg_{\epsilon} D^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}.$$

We want to find an upper bound of the form

$$|\mathrm{Cl}_{2k-1}(K)| \ll D^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta_k+\epsilon}.$$

Combining such a bound with Siegel's theorem would give

$$NV_{d,k}(D) \geq \#\mathcal{O}_{\psi} \gg D^{\delta_k-\epsilon}.$$

# Bounding $\ell$ -torsion in Class Groups

Theorem (Ellenberg and Venkatesh, 2005)

*Assuming GRH,*

$$|Cl_\ell(K)| \ll_\epsilon D^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\ell} + \epsilon}.$$

## Theorem (Ellenberg, Pierce, Wood (2016))

*The bound*

$$|Cl_\ell(K)| \ll_\epsilon D^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\ell} + \epsilon}$$

*holds unconditionally for all imaginary quadratic fields  $K$  with  $D \leq X$  except an “exceptional set” of size  $O(X^{1 - \frac{1}{2\ell}})$ .*



# Bounding the $\ell$ -torsion subgroup

A restatement of the results of Ellenberg, Pierce, and Wood (2016) yields

$$\frac{\#\{K : D \leq X, |\mathrm{Cl}_\ell(K)| \ll_\epsilon D^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\ell} + \epsilon}\}}{\#\{K : D \leq X\}} = 1 + O(X^{-\frac{1}{2\ell}}).$$

## Under our particular conditions...

Recall that  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}$  is the set of all imaginary quadratic fields  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$  that satisfy our conditions on  $(d, k, D)$ , along with some “local conditions”.

We incorporate our local conditions into the work of Ellenberg, Pierce, and Wood to get an asymptotic formula for the number of imaginary quadratic fields  $K$  with  $D \leq X$  that satisfy our conditions:

$$\#\mathcal{S}_{d,k}(X) = \delta_{d,k}X + O(X^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

for an explicit constant  $\delta_{d,k}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^{Tor}$  denote the subset of  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}$  such that the torsion bound is satisfied, i.e.,  $|\text{Cl}_\ell(K)| \ll_\epsilon D^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\ell} + \epsilon}$ .

We prove that if  $K$  is in the set  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^{Tor}$ , then

$$NV_{d,k}(D) \geq \#\mathcal{O}_\psi \gg D^{\frac{1}{2(2k-1)} - \epsilon}.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^{Tor}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^{NV}$ , the set of fields in  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}$  with

$$NV_{d,k}(D) \gg_\epsilon D^{\frac{1}{2(2k-1)} - \epsilon}.$$

## Finding an Asymptotic Formula

We can decompose  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}(X)$  into the disjoint union of  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^{NV}(X)$  and its complement,  $\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^-(X)$ . Then,

$$\#\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^{NV}(X) = \#\mathcal{S}_{d,k}(X) - \#\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^-(X).$$

From Ellenberg, Pierce, and Wood, we know that the number of fields with our particular conditions not satisfying the torsion bound is bounded above by  $O(X^{1-\frac{1}{2(2k-1)}})$ .

So, we can use  $O(X^{1-\frac{1}{2(2k-1)}})$  as an upper bound for  $\#\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^-(X)$ .

## Finding an Asymptotic Formula

Then, we combine our asymptotic formula for  $\#\mathcal{S}_{d,k}(X)$  with this upper bound on the number of fields that don't satisfy  $NV_{d,k}(D) \gg_{\epsilon} D^{\frac{1}{2(2k-1)} - \epsilon}$  to get

$$\#\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^{NV}(X) = \delta_{d,k}X + O_{d,k}(X^{1 - \frac{1}{2(2k-1)}})$$

for explicit positive constant  $\delta_{d,k}$ .




Finally, we consider the ratio of  $\#\mathcal{S}_{d,k}^{NV}(X)$  to  $\#\mathcal{S}_{d,k}(X)$  and arrive at our density statement.

# Acknowledgements

We would like to thank

- ▶ Our advisor, Dr. Riad Masri,
- ▶ Our graduate student mentor, Wei-Lun Tsai,
- ▶ Texas A&M University for hosting,
- ▶ The NSF for funding.

Thank you!

-  J. Ellenberg and A. Venkatesh, *Reflection Principles and Bounds for Class Group Torsion*, Int. Math. Res. Not. no.1, Art ID rnm002 (2007).
-  J. Ellenberg, L. B. Pierce, M. Matchett Wood, *On  $\ell$ -torsion in Class Groups of Number Fields*, [arXiv:1606.06103](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.06103) [math.NT].
-  G. Shimura, *The special values of the zeta functions associated to cusp forms*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 29 (1976), 783-804.